<u>REMARKS</u>

In the above-identified Office Action the Examiner has objected to the disclosure because of a noted informality regarding Figs. 8(a) and 8(b). Applicant has amended Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) along the lines suggested by the Examiner and, as such, believes the objection is obviated.

Claims 8-12 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as indefinite. Applicant has addressed each of the Examiner's concerns and, as such, believes that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 are now obviated.

Claims 5-6 have been rejected as anticipated by the patent to SALLES et al. The Examiner has read the non-rotating member of Claim 9 to read on support 15 of SALLES et al. Support 15 is essentially a fork with teeth forming one assembly with a wall of the container. Applicant has amended Claim 5 so that it now recites that the rotating member has a substantially disk shape which is significantly different from the fork of SALLES et al. and, accordingly, Applicant believes that claims 5-6 now distinguish over SALLES et al.

Applicant hereby requests reconsideration and reexamination thereof.

With the above amendments and remarks, this application is considered ready for allowance and applicant earnestly solicits an early notice of same. Should the Examiner be of the opinion that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of the subject application, she is respectfully requested to call the undersigned at the below listed number.

Dated: 16 September 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald T Shekleton

Reg. No. 27,466

Husch Blackwell Sanders Welsh & Katz 120 South Riverside Plaza, 22nd Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Phone: (312) 655-1511 Fax: (312) 655-1501